Dear Investor,

The Global Volatility Summit (“GVS”) brings together volatility and tail hedge managers, institutional investors, thought-provoking speakers, and other industry experts to discuss the volatility markets and the roles volatility strategies can play in institutional investment portfolios. The GVS aims to keep investors updated on the volatility markets throughout the year, and educated on innovations within the space.

True Partner Capital has provided the latest piece in the GVS newsletter series.

Cheers,
Global Volatility Summit

---

Event

The tenth annual Global Volatility Summit (“GVS”) is scheduled for Wednesday, March 13th, 2019 at Chelsea Piers in New York City. This year’s event will feature fresh panel topics, manager discussions, keynote speakers, and a new US Politics panel. Space is limited, so we encourage you to register as soon as possible.

2019 Manager Participants

- 36 South Capital Advisors
- Argentière Capital
- Artemis Capital Management
- BTG Pactual
- Capstone Investment Advisors
- Capula Investment Management
- Dominicé & Co
- GCI Asset Management
- Graticule Asset Management
- III Capital Management
- Ionic Capital Management
- Lake Hill
- Man
- Parallax Investment Advisors
- Penso Advisors
- Pine River Capital Management
- TPRV Capital
- True Partner Capital

2018 Event Recap

The 9th Annual Global Volatility Summit was held on March 14, 2018 at Chelsea Piers in New York City. 14 hedge fund managers were joined by senior professionals from hedge fund consultants, the institutional investor community, and leaders in the industry to discuss volatility, tail hedging, macro and quant strategies within the investment context. Three keynote speakers, Lance Armstrong, David Gallo, and Ryan Holiday temporarily drove the conversation away from the central content to speak to volatility across other contexts including athletic competition and underwater astonishments. The event hosted the first-ever GVS Think Tank Panel, which featured three industry experts across East Asia policy studies, macro quantitative and derivatives strategies, and US politics. Among these panelists included Ryan Hass, Marko Kolanovic, and Demetri Sevastopulo.
Party like it’s 2017?
With equity markets roaring up in the start of 2019, one can be forgiven to have forgotten that a mere ten weeks ago the bears were firmly in control with plenty of shell-shocked investors liquidating their market exposure. Maybe this explains the severity of the rebound, with money on the sidelines rushing back in once a further sell-off into a real bear market was averted. If the fourth quarter of 2018 were a brief mental exercise in what markets would look like when off the monetary life-support, the doctors at the Fed and other central banks have quickly decided the patient is not yet ready.

An old Dutch proverb goes “gentle healers make stinking wounds”, which loosely translates as, it is better to treat a problem thoroughly even if the treatment is painful, otherwise it may get worse. The markets immediately took the flexibility expressed by Powell on not only future rate hikes but also on the Fed balance sheet run-off, as an invitation for an extended bull party. The monetary punchbowl was back in the middle of it, just like in 2017. As if 2018 never happened. Permit us to suggest it would be wise to reflect on last year taking into account the Dutch proverb.

While we appreciate a good party as much as the next person, we wonder whether the perceived similarities with 2017 ring true. We dare say that despite the rosy mood and cratering volatilities globally, we are not entering a sustained period of depressed volatilities. Market complacency has gone too far, too fast.

Implied volatilities have come down radically over the past 10 weeks. Table 1 below compares the 1-month at-the-money implied volatility on December 28th 2018 for several global indices with their current levels. Although part of the decline is driven by higher index levels (in general, options with higher strike prices command a lower implied volatility), significant supply of volatility has hammered down options prices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>1M ATM (12/28/18)</th>
<th>1M ATM (3/4/19)</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikkei 225</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kospi 200</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hang Seng</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurostoxx 50</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>-51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: True Partner Capital

However, implied volatility is only one side of the equation. Where implied volatility can be seen as the cost of potential movement (in the form of daily time decay), the revenue of options depends on the actual movement the markets exhibit. From the actual movement perspective, the following statistic is telling. During all of 2017, there were a total of seven trading days in the S&P 500 with a >1% move, while in January 2019 alone we have already experienced seven of these trading days.

The observation whether volatility is ‘cheap’ or not depends on the degree of actual daily movement. When comparing the current levels of implied volatility with our generic (proprietary) measure for actual movement, most indices trade around fair value, as depicted in Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>1M ATM (3/4/19)</th>
<th>20D realized proxy</th>
<th>Realized / Implied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikkei 225</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kospi 200</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hang Seng</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurostoxx 50</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: True Partner Capital

In other words, volatilities in key indices such as Nikkei, S&P 500 and Eurostoxx 50, have compressed to such an extent that they are actually trading at their current realized levels. Anecdotal evidence says the volatility-short trade is alive and kicking. A valid question is how much room there is to Party like it’s 2017?

Mr. Tobias Hekster and Mr. Govert Heijboer
run from here. When implied and realized volatility have converged at these low volatility levels, the benefit from a volatility short position (assuming the trader hedges his or her risk dynamically) would only lie in further volatility compression. Selling options is only a carry trade as long as the daily receipt of time decay exceeds the actual daily movement. As both movement and implied volatility have come down radically since the end of December, it is not a surprise that the CBOE Eurekahedge Short Volatility Index was able to recapture a sizeable chunk of December’s losses in January. (and it is our expectation they ought have recovered more in February).

In order to judge how much momentum the volatility short trade has left in the short term, we should revert back to 2017. For most of the year, dismal levels of realized volatility invited yet more volatility sellers in a reinforcing feedback loop that seemed to go on perpetually until it didn’t. While it only cracked up in February 2018, the first signs of frailty were already visible at the end of 2017. Table 3 below shows that in October 2017 implieds were still comfortably above actual movement, fueling the feedback loop. But come December month end, that was mostly gone.

### Table 3: 2017 Realized and Implied Volatility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>1M ATM 26/10/2017</th>
<th>Realized / Implied 26/10/2017</th>
<th>1M ATM 28/12/2017</th>
<th>Realized / Implied 28/12/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikkei 225</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kospi 200</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hang Seng</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>105%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurostoxx 50</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>101%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: True Partner Capital*

In our view the current volatility landscape is more in line with the very end of 2017. From a behavioral perspective this makes sense as well. By backtracking on rate hikes and balance sheet reduction, the Fed yet again reinforced the Powell Put; previously also known as Yellen Put, Bernanke Put and Greenspan Put…. Hence, there was a rush to cash in on this renewed market backstop by selling volatility and buying equity. As the process is perceived to be a repetition of the past, logically the speed of the process increases which explains the breakneck pace of volatility declines this time around. This increased speed can be seen as a “learning curve”, although the “knowledge” learned can be disputed.

Interestingly, in addition to the compression of volatility, other typical higher risk carry trades have roared back to life from their December swoon. For example High Yield (as reflected by the HYG ETF), Preferred Shares (as reflected by the PFF ETF) and Leveraged Loans (as reflected by the S&P LSTA US Leveraged Loans Index) are all trading at or very near their highs. A veritable dash-for-trash seems to be on after Powell’s flexibility comments, with risk assets getting priced near perfection.
Amongst all the frothiness observed in the markets, for the near future, there is still a difference between concluding it is December 2017 again and predicting whether and when February 2018 will happen again. While all it takes is a catalyst with which a quick unravelling of the Goldilocks Markets could take place, markets have shown to be quite adept at ignoring all kinds of negative news and threats for a prolonged period. Until then, it pays for a volatility trader to remain patient and diligent, knowing that all parties come to an end.
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Disclaimer

This document has been prepared and issued by True Partner Advisor (“True Partner”). This presentation is confidential, is intended only for the person to whom it has been provided and under no circumstances may a copy be shown, copied, transmitted, or otherwise given to any person other than the authorized recipient without the prior written consent of True Partner. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an investor may disclose to any and all persons, without limitation of any kind, the tax treatments and tax structure of True Partner Fund, (the “Fund” or “Offering”) and all materials of any kind, including opinions or other tax analyses that are provided to the investor relating to such tax treatment and tax structure. The distribution of the information contained herein in certain jurisdictions may be restricted, and, accordingly, it is the responsibility of any prospective investor to satisfy itself as to compliance with relevant laws and regulations.

Nothing herein constitutes an offer to sell, or solicitation of an offer to purchase, any securities, nor does it constitute an endorsement with respect to any investment strategy or vehicle. Any offer of securities may be made only by means of a Confidential Memorandum (the “Memorandum”), which contains additional information about the Fund and expenses associated with an investment therein, only to sophisticated investors in jurisdictions where permitted by law. All relevant Offering Documents should be carefully reviewed before investing. Any decision to invest in the Fund should be based solely on the information included in the Memorandum. In the case of any inconsistency between the descriptions or terms in this presentation and the Memorandum, the Memorandum shall control.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. The information contained herein is preliminary, provided for discussion purposes only as a summary of key information, is not complete and does not contain certain material information about the Offering. The information contained herein does not take into account any particular investment objectives or financial circumstances of any specific person who may receive it. Parties should independently investigate any investment strategy or manager, and should consult their own advisors as to legal, tax, accounting, regulatory and related matters prior to investing. These materials may contain historical market data; however, historical market trends are not reliable indicators of future market behavior. Due to, among other things, the volatile nature of the markets and the investment strategies discussed herein, the investment strategies may only be suitable for certain investors. There can be no assurance that the Offering will have a return on capital similar to historical returns provided because, among other reasons, there may be differences in economic conditions, regulatory climate, portfolio size, leverage use, expenses and structure, as well as investment policies and techniques. Any information provided with respect to how the portfolio will be constructed is merely a guideline, which True Partner may change at any time in its sole discretion. Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained herein is believed to be accurate as of the date indicated. No representation or warranty is made as to its continued accuracy after such date.

The Fund is not registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, in reliance on an exception thereunder. Interests in the Fund has not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the securities laws of any state; they are being offered and sold in reliance on exemptions from the registration requirements of said Act and laws. Neither the Fund’s Operative Documents, nor the offering of its limited partnership interests, has been reviewed or approved by U.S. federal or state regulators. Interests in the Offering are not deposits or obligations of, or guaranteed or endorsed by, any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, The Federal Reserve Board or any other governmental agency. The interests issued by the Fund are offered or otherwise made available only in accordance with available, applicable private placement or offering rules.

An investment in the Offering is illiquid and there are significant restrictions on transferring the Funds’ limited partnership interests. There are no secondary markets for the Fund’s limited
partnership interests and none are expected to develop. True Partner has sole discretion regarding the allocation of the Fund assets. The Fund represents a speculative investment and involves a high degree of risk. The Fund’s portfolio, which is under the sole trading authority of True Partner, is principally an Equity Volatility Arbitrage fund. This lack of diversification may result in higher risk.

A private fund is generally not subject to the same regulatory oversight and/or regulatory requirements as a mutual fund. The Offering is not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors. Investments may involve complex tax structures resulting in delays in distributing important tax information.

Certain instruments may have no readily available market or third party pricing. Performance may be volatile as private funds may employ leverage and other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss, and adherence to risk control mechanisms does not guarantee investment returns. Additionally, fees may offset an investor’s profits. A comprehensive list of potential risk factors is outlined in the Offering Documents.

Investing in the financial markets involves a substantial degree of risk. There can be no assurance that the investment objectives described herein will be achieved. Investment losses may occur, and investors could lose some or all of their investment and successfully overcoming barriers to entry, e.g., legal and regulatory enterprise does not guarantee successful investment performance. No guarantee or representation is made that the Fund’s investment program, including, without limitation, its investment objectives, strategies or risk monitoring goals, will be successful and investment results may vary substantially over time.

Investment losses may occur from time to time. Nothing herein is intended to imply that the Fund’s investment methodology should be considered “conservative”, “safe”, “risk free” or “risk averse.” An investment in any fund should be discretionary capital set aside strictly for speculative purposes.

Certain information contained in this document contains: forward-looking statements” which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “may”, “will”, “should”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “target”, “project”, “estimate”, “intend”, “continue” or “believe” or the negatives thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. Due to various risks and uncertainties, actual events or results or the performance of the Fund may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Forward looking information is subject to inherent uncertainties and qualifications and could be based on numerous assumptions. Forward looking information is provided for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to serve as, and must not be relied upon by any investor as, a guarantee, an assurance, a prediction or a definitive statement of fact or probability.

Performance Methodology: Past performance is not an indicator of future performance. Annualized Returns or Performance figures for True Partner Fund are based on Class B shares and are shown on a net basis after the deduction of a 2% management fees and a 20% performance fee, trading related and other expenses that an investor would have or actually paid.

The indexes’ performances do not reflect the deduction of transaction costs, management fees, or other costs which would reduce returns. References to market or composite indexes, benchmarks or other measures of relative market performance (indexes) over a specified period of time are provided for your information only and do not imply that a portfolio will achieve similar returns, volatility or other results. The composition of an index may not reflect the manner in which a portfolio is constructed in relation to expected or achieved returns, portfolio guidelines, restrictions, sectors, correlations, concentrations, volatility or tracking error targets, all of which may change over time. Indexes are used as performance benchmarks only, as True Partner does not attempt to replicate an index. The composition of the indexes is not necessarily similar to accounts managed by True Partner. The prior performance of the indexes will not be predictive of the future performance of accounts managed by True Partner. An investor may not invest directly in an index.

Performance Methodology: Past performance is not an indicator of future performance. Annualized Returns or Performance figures for True Partner Fund are based on Class B shares and are shown on a net basis after the deduction of a 2% management fees and a 20% performance fee, trading related and other expenses that an investor would have or actually paid.