

### Implementation of a Protection Program: One Year On

NOTE: 'TRIP' is the acronym for our Endowment

**TRIP** Protection @ The University of Chicago

Presentation for Global Volatility Summit 2013

Mike Edleson Chief Risk Officer

February 25, 2013

# Last Year's Presentation on 1 Page: The Case for TRIP Protection



## Wrong-Way (Negative Convexity) Risk in Endowments

#### The concept of 'Wrong-way' risk in a portfolio is shown at the right

-  $\beta$   $\uparrow$  as Markets  $\downarrow\,$  = Lose more -\$\$ than expect

# The natural tendency for an endowment is to produce wrong-way risk or negatively-convex returns:

- Volatility & correlation spikes during market crises
- Natural 'carry' strategies in many hedge funds
- Natural risk profile of credit investments
- Interaction effect with illiquidity
- Beta of our 'alpha'
- Interaction effect with leverage
- Rebalancing as being short gamma
- 'Carry' fees to GPs for alternatives creates kinked risk profile

# Negative convexity is evident in actual endowment returns

#### $\beta$ ~ 0.5 actual example:

CHICAGO

- $-~\beta$  is 75% greater in down markets than up. Lose -\$\$ at accelerated pace
- Like being SHORT sizable put option on market
  (yields 'premium' which is about ½ of what we call 'alpha')



Looking at the Beta of Returns in UP and DOWN Markets... Typical Endowment Return is RISKIER to the downside.

### **Risk Drivers of Our Investment Returns**

Dripsory		
	RISK DRIVER	Governance Control ?
	Global Equity Risk	$\beta$ target 0.75 (0.7 – 0.8 range)
First Place Blue/Gold		
Secondary	Return Appetite	Target established consistent with risk controls
Ond	Liquidity Risk (Illiq. Premium)	Cut back to 35% 'Illiquid'
	Leverage	No Explicit Leverage
Second Place Red/Silver	Short Optionality Premium (wrong-way risk)	Offset with Volatility Allocation
Tertiary	Value Premium	measure & monitor



	Value Premium		measure & monitor	
	Interest Rate Risk		measure & monitor	
	Small Cap Premium		measure & monitor	
	EM vs. Developed (correlated w/materials/resources)	TOU	measure & monitor	
CAGO OFFICE OF INVESTMENTS		TRIP Protection is just one facet of an integrated		

HE UNIVERSITY OF CH

TRIP investment strategy and risk management approach.<sup>4</sup>

Develop Case

Assess Appetite

Governance

CHICAGO

 $\geq$  Meet & Talk (+ go to GVS)

Socialize Staff & Board

Identify Program Goals

(part of investment strategy, vs.

tempting alternative of hiding & doing opportunistically)

ALL this precedes even *thinking about* implementation!

Protection managers & sell-side

Risk Framework — Risk Drivers

#### Protection Program STEPS — 'Preface' Phase

**Management & Monitoring** 

We are not suggesting a radical fix that will eliminate losses. This is a practical, partial hedge that represents a focused, balanced, cost-effective solution to improve our return profile when the impact is largest.

**Strategy** Implementation

PHASES Preface

5

GVS 2013 : Implementation of a Protection Program

# Allocation and Cost The Program & Costs

✓ Equity = main focus (primary risk driver)
 ✓ Try to 'linear-ize' wrong-way β profile

# *Improve the return profile of TRIP by partially protecting its downside with:*

- a 2% strategic allocation to volatility/ option products w/positive convexity,
- including a max 0.65% premium budget,
- and an expected long-run draw of -12-20 bps on average endowment return
- but contributing several hundred bps to TRIP return in an extreme tail event

	(as a % of all TRIP)	Comment
TRIP (Endowment)	100%	
TRIP Protection	2%	0-4% strategic allocation
Budget Max Loss	– 65 bps	Capitalize 3x max bleed
Long-term Loss (standalone)	– 25-40 bps	As some years don't lose max loss, some gain
w/Alpha, net of fees (standalone)	– 20-30 bps	3-5 bp fee drag, but manager skill vs. crowded passive protection
Portfolio Impact (in combination with TRIP returns)	– 12-20 bps	Compound return improved by negatively-correlated, convex return component



#### Strategies and Criteria

TRIP needs a small allocation with the following properties:

- Positive Convexity
- Performs well during market downturns
- Protects TRIP return profile

#### » What are the Criteria we want

#### in a Protection Program?

- Philosophy (manager truly 'gets' what we're trying to do)
- Significant Performance in extreme downturn
- Performance in short-term shocks ?
- Liquidity of structure & strategy / ability to monetize
- Execution
- Manager alpha
- Asset class fit & mix (primary focus equity)
- Transparency & control
- Liquidity of traded products
- (-) short optionality/'wrong way' higher order risks

**Protection Payoff** 

- (-) Reinvestment / roll risk
- <mark>(-)</mark> CP risk
- (-) Basis risk (balance with cost/alpha)
- (-) Cost (Fees, Bleed, Other)
- + ROBUST

CHICAGO



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO OFFICE OF INVESTMENTS

#### Protection Program STEPS — 'Strategy' Phase

Strategy Implementation

PHASES Preface

**Management & Monitoring** 

#### Find your Protection Philosophy

#### The Big Questions:

- $\checkmark$  What types of losses to protect? Crises only? ... Market corrections? 'Attachment point' & Timing
- ✓ Cost vs. Basis Risk? ... Active vs. Passive?
- ✓ Strategic vs. Opportunistic?
- ✓ Pure Protection vs. Rel Val?

What are you willing to sell to reduce program cost?

✓ How do your balance your competing criteria?

 $\geq$  Politics – (IC, boss) How Committed?

Internal vs. External

 $\succ$  'Sizing'  $\rightarrow$ 



What mix of Greeks do you want?

 $\stackrel{\text{CHIICAGO}}{\longrightarrow} Meet with Everybody (refining above points)$ 

#### We are looking for:

- ✓ Focus on Convexity & Volatility
- ✓ Nearly all long; no hidden short optionality risks
- ✓ A mix of <u>robust</u>, cost-effective strategies
- $\checkmark$  Evaluating with the criteria on last slide

There are many strategy-types in Volatility & Protection space to choose from. Different managers specialize in different styles, and some optimize with a mix.

1000	
20	You
6-7	
9	
6	
4	- Manager
-35	
210	
	1000 20 6-7 9 6 4 -35 210

Gotta decide what you're comfortable with, Find managers who 'get it,' You monitor & control it. 8

	44 Managers Evaluated! (Five are shown here:)	Manager A	Manager B (hired)	Manager C	Manager D (short-listed)	Manager E
	Advisory Fund Service	* *	*	* *	* *	*
Impl	Experience/Genesis	Risk Model & Advisory	Vol Trading Expertise	Vol Trading / Fund	Organic FOHF Hedging	Former Multi- Strat Managers
	Competence	Excellent	Excellent	?	Very Good	?
	Philosophy/Focus	Not Aligned	Excellent	Moderate	Good	Moderate / Shotgun
	Process/Sophistication	Good	Very Good	Good	Good	Fair -
	Strategy: Type lementation:	Linear	Convex, Vol, Volgamma, Term	Convex, Vol, Opp	Convex	Any
Eval	Strategy: Other uation	Dynamic Global Macro	Dynamic	Some Rel Vol		
	Asset Classes	Multi	Equity	US Equity	Equity/Multi	Multi
	Infrastructure/Execution	Limited	Excellent	?		?
	Transparency / Control	Full	Full	Limited	Full	Full
	Liquidity	Near Daily	~ Weekly	Monthly?	Near Daily-Weekly	Weekly-Monthly
	Payoff	Low	High	Med +	High	?
	Cost (net of Alpha)	Very Low (None)	Med (Low)	Med (?)	Med (Med)	?
	Fees	Low	Med	Extremely High	Low	Very Low

(Final slide on actual investments & returns was redacted)

# THANK YOU

Mike Edleson Chief Risk Officer The University of Chicago

## You've Earned a BREAK\* !

Global Volatility Summit 2013