

## The “Costless” Collar


By *Dmitri Smolansky*  
Head of Portfolio Solutions



Costless Collars are a popular equity hedging strategy, which appeals to investors by its simplicity and a promise of a free lunch: the portfolio appears to be protected and there are no initial cash outlays. In this note we evaluate this hedging approach and offer investors a framework to evaluate costless collars in 2023.

### Introduction


Costless Collars are easy to understand. For example, an investor would be buying a one-year, 10% out-of-the-money (OTM) put option, which usually costs 4%-5% of the notional amount the investor is trying to protect. To offset the cost of the put, the investor is selling an OTM call option, picking such a strike that the total cost of the structure is zero. (Sometimes, investors implement a variation of the structure where puts are substituted with put spreads, allowing them to sell a further out-of-the money call. While the pay-off of that structure is different from the one we are describing the main conclusions would remain the same). It is important to note that calls typically trade at a lower implied volatility than puts. Since the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), in the US market investors would be selling approximately a 7% OTM call to finance a 10% OTM put. The following chart summarizes pay-off of such a structure combined with full equity exposure:



Assumptions: Assumptions: Price of 10% OTM put and 7% OTM call are the same and equal 5%  
For illustrative purposes only.

## Historical Analysis

Many investors mention their negative experience with Costless Collars, particularly since the GFC. The following chart and the table illustrate that Costless Collars has historically been a poor choice for equity hedging. (To construct the back-test for both strategies we assumed that annual hedging structures are purchased every quarter. Thus, on each quarterly rebalancing the strategy holds 25% of 3, 6, 9, and 12-month options. This approach reduces dependence on a specific expiration point).



Source: Optionmetrics, SECOR. Data from June 30, 2000 to January 31, 2023. Please see Disclosures below for additional definitions and assumptions.

### Back-test comparison of Puts and Costless Collars for S&P500 (SPX)

	SPX 10% OTM Put	SPX Costless Collar
<b>Average Return</b>	-2.4%	-5.4%
<b>Standard Deviation (STD)</b>	8.2%	12.7%
<b>Return / STD</b>	-0.29	-0.42
<b>Beta</b>	-0.36	-0.62
<b>Tail Beta<sup>1</sup></b>	-0.61	-0.62


Source: Optionmetrics, SECOR, Data from 6/30/2000 to 1/31/2023. Please see Disclosures below for additional definitions and assumptions.

Clearly, buying Costless Collars systematically is not a great strategy. Over time it is very costly. For context, over this period US equities generated 6.4% return. To confirm that this analysis is not specific

<sup>1</sup> Tail beta is defined as the market sensitivity during tail-risk events.

to S&P500, we constructed a similar back-test for Eurostoxx and tested it over available history that starts in 2003. The result was similar: -5.3% for Costless Collar compared with -2.8% for Puts. This is during the period when Eurostoxx generated a 6.6% annualized return.


The table above also shows that while Puts have much more negative Beta to equities during market sell-offs (Tail Beta) than over the whole period, Costless Collar Beta is essentially the same in all periods. Thus, Costless Collars do not offer the asymmetric, convex profile that investors normally seek in their hedges. The following chart demonstrates this point visually: while Costless Collar returns are slightly better during market sell-offs (the difference is explained by the size of put premium), the strategy really underperforms during strong equity rallies.



Source: Optionmetrics, SECOR, Data from 6/30/2000 to 1/31/2023. Please see Disclosures below for additional definitions and assumptions.

### Examples of costless collar performance under different market scenarios

It may appear that if equity markets go up 7% on average, investors would not be giving away much of their long-term equity returns. There are a couple of problems with this logic. First, if expected return is around 7%, by selling 7% OTM calls investors cut off the whole right half of equity return distribution, while keeping a large portion of the distribution on the left side of the expected return line:



Source: SECOR, assumed 7% Equity return and 20% volatility

An even more important problem with Costless Collars becomes obvious when we consider a 2-period equity market scenario, presented in the tables below. In Example 1 the investor uses collars to hedge an equity portfolio over two years when equities realize a 7% average arithmetic return. The impact of having a collar is a large negative number: -8.5% in arithmetic terms and -7.3% if we consider impact of compounding. For comparison, impact of puts is much smaller even though the investor needed to outlay 5% premium two years in a row.

Example 1. Impact of hedging in a two-periods with average 7% equity return scenario

	Year 1	Year 2	Arithmetic Average Return	Compounded Return
Equity Return	25.0%	-11.0%	7.0%	5.5%
Equity + Costless Collar Return	7.0%	-10.0%	-1.5%	-1.9%
Impact of Costless Collar	-18.0%	1.0%	-8.5%	-7.3%
Equity + 10% OTM Put	20.0%	-15.0%	2.5%	1.0%
Impact of 10% OTM Put	-5.0%	-4.0%	-4.5%	-4.5%

Hypothetical scenarios. For illustrative purposes only. The Costless Collar and 10% OTM Put examples represent holding the strategy for 1 year, then buying the same strategy for the subsequent year.

The Example 1 conclusions also hold if we assume that equity markets sell-off materially in Year 2 after a strong Year 1. Example 2 demonstrates that the impact of the first year is just too high to compensate for gains of the second year. Again, 5% outlay on puts ends up a cheaper option than the Costless Collar.

### Example 2. Impact of hedging with large second year sell-off scenario

	Year 1	Year 2	Arithmetic Average Return	Compounded Return
Equity Return	25.0%	-35.0%	-5.0%	-9.9%
Equity + Costless Collar Return	7.0%	-10.0%	-1.5%	-1.9%
Impact of Costless Collar	-18.0%	25.0%	3.5%	8.0%
Equity + 10% OTM Put	20.0%	-15.0%	2.5%	1.0%
Impact of 10% OTM Put	-5.0%	20.0%	7.5%	10.9%

Hypothetical scenarios. For illustrative purposes only. The Costless Collar and 10% OTM Put examples represent holding the strategy for 1 year, then buying the same strategy for the subsequent year.

The situation where Costless Collars strategy shines is when equity markets are in a low volatility grind higher regime. Example 3 demonstrates a scenario where equity markets produce positive low single digit returns. In this case Costless Collars significantly outperform Puts.

### Example 3. Impact of hedging in a low volatility regime scenario

	Year 1	Year 2	Arithmetic Average Return	Compounded Return
Equity Return	3.0%	5.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Equity + Costless Collar Return	3.0%	5.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Impact of Costless Collar	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Equity + 10% OTM Put	-2.0%	0.0%	-1.0%	-1.0%
Impact of 10% OTM Put	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%

Hypothetical scenarios. For illustrative purposes only. The Costless Collar and 10% OTM Put examples represent holding the strategy for 1 year, then buying the same strategy for the subsequent year.

These examples illustrate that Costless Collars represent a good hedging strategy in a low volatility environment. In the environment of high volatility with markets moving up and down significantly, investors may be better off with “costly” put protection.

## Conclusion

We presented comparison of historical performance of Costless Collar Strategy with Put buying strategy. While there are historical periods and hypothetical scenarios when Costless Collars would outperform Puts, long-term track record indicates that Costless Collar strategy may result in significant losses for an investor.

### Disclosures

*Except where otherwise indicated, the information contained in this presentation is based on matters as they exist as of the date of preparation of such material and not as of the date of distribution or any future date. This document does not constitute advice or a recommendation or offer to sell or a solicitation to deal in any security or financial product. It is provided for information purposes only and on the understanding that the recipient has sufficient knowledge and experience to be able to understand and make its own evaluation of the proposals and services described herein, any risks associated therewith and any related legal, tax, accounting or other material*

considerations. To the extent that a reader has any questions regarding the applicability of any specific issue discussed above to their specific portfolio or situation, they are encouraged to consult with the professional advisor of their choosing, and recipients should not rely on this material in making any future investment decision.

We do not represent that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied upon as such. Opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. Certain information contained herein (including any forward-looking statements and economic and market information) has been obtained from published sources and/or prepared by third parties and in certain cases has not been updated through the date hereof. While such sources are believed to be reliable, SECOR does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of such information. SECOR does not undertake any obligation to update the information contained herein as of any future date.

Any illustrative models or investments presented in this document are based on a number of assumptions and are presented only for the limited purpose of providing a sample illustration. Any sample illustration is inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond SECOR's control. Any sample illustration may not be reflective of any actual investment purchased, sold, or recommended for investment by SECOR and are not intended to represent the performance of any investment made in the past or to be made in the future by any portfolio managed or advised by SECOR. Actual returns may have no correlation with the sample illustration presented herein, and the sample illustration is not necessarily indicative of an investment that SECOR will make. It should not be assumed that SECOR's investment recommendations in the future will accomplish its goals or will equal the illustration provided herein.

The statements in this presentation, including statements in the present tense, may contain projections or forward-looking statements regarding future events, targets, intentions or expectations. Due to various risks and uncertainties, actual events or results may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Investments are subject to risk, including the possible loss of principal. There is no guarantee that projected returns or risk assumptions will be realized or that an investment strategy will be successful. No representation, warranty or undertaking is made as to the reasonableness of the assumptions made herein or that all assumptions made herein have been stated. Different types of investments involve varying degrees of risk, and there can be no assurance that the future performance of any specific investment, investment strategy, or product made reference to directly or indirectly in this document, will be profitable, equal any corresponding indicated performance level(s), or be suitable for your portfolio.

Strategy and Index definitions below:

- *SPX 10% OTM Put is a portfolio of 10% out-of-the money (OTM) puts with 3, 6, 9 and 12-months maturities on S&P500 Index. At each quarterly option expiration, 1-year, 10% OTM put option is purchased with a notional exposure equal to 25% of the assumed notional.*
- *SPX 10% Put Costless Collar is constructed by combining SPX 10% OTM Put above with selling annual calls to achieve zero initial premium. Call selling was assumed to be done at the same time as buying puts. The strike of the Call options was chosen so that the total premium spent on the Calls would be similar to the premium spent on puts.*
- *All purchases of options are done at the Asking price, at the end of the day.*
- *All options are held to maturity.*
- *Assumed account notional is constant over time.*
- *Quarterly Option Expiration is a third Friday of March, June, September, and December.*
- *Performance only includes profits or losses from holding options. Daily percentage return is calculated as the sum of all dollar profits and losses from all options held in the portfolio divided by the Assumed Account Notional.*

Any questions regarding this document or the disclosures above should be directed to [cco@secor-am.com](mailto:cco@secor-am.com).